

# Museum of Art Audit Report

Issued by the Internal Audit Office December 5, 2006

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Internal Audit Office has concluded its audit of the Museum of Art. The audit was performed at the request of Dr. Michael Tomor, Director of the El Paso Museum of Art as a Change in Management Audit. Based on the results of this audit, seven findings were identified to indicate internal control weaknesses within the Museum of Art. Four of the findings are considered significant in nature while three findings are less significant.

Listed below is a summary of the four significant findings identified in this report.

- 1. The art inventory reports are not accurate, complete and reliable. We found a lack of a comprehensive inventory report that lists all the permanent art pieces under one reporting system.
- 2. The appraisal values for the art inventory have not been updated since the acquisition date of the art piece. We tested 100 of the most valued art pieces which were 86% of the entire inventory collection and found 96% of our sampled items had not been recently appraised to determine the current value.
- 3. We found a lack of a comprehensive report listing the various types of bequeathed assets (unrestricted, temporarily restricted and permanently restricted) to understand the risks involved. A donor file which reflects the fund requirements of these assets was also lacking.
- 4. Internal controls over the store museum are weak. The store museum has been operating at a loss for the last four years. There is a lack of profit and loss analyses on store performance and no reviews of monthly expenditures are performed.

Listed below are the three findings listed as "Other Findings":

- 5. The collection of rental revenue is untimely, poorly monitored and lacks strong internal controls.
- 6. There are no policies and procedures over the donations box. There is no specific time of when the donation box is emptied. The decision to count the money is subjective based on how full the box appears. Inconsistent methods are used to open the box.
- 7. Art pieces in the art storage room are not safeguarded adequately. During the sample test of the inventory records, we found the entrance door to the art storage room left wide open and the area unattended.

For a detailed explanation of the findings please refer to the appropriate findings contained in the body of this audit report.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The El Paso Museum of Art is the fine arts museum of the City of El Paso and a major cultural and educational resource for the surrounding region. The El Paso Museum of Art operates under the Museums and Cultural Affairs Department (MCAD), follows MCAD's policies and procedures and operates in accordance with the National Standards of the American Association of Museum (AAM) accreditation. The City of El Paso Museum of Art currently has in its portfolio assets estimated at approximately \$100 million dollars. Of this portfolio \$65 million is in the actual art collection, with the remaining assets composed of infrastructure and endowment funds to accomplish its mission. The Museum houses a permanent collection of more than 5,000 works of art, including the Samuel H. Kress Collection of European art from the  $13^{th} - 18^{th}$  centuries, American art from the  $19^{th} - 20^{th}$ centuries, Mexican colonial art and retablos from the 18<sup>th</sup> – 19<sup>th</sup> centuries, works on paper, and contemporary art from the Southwestern United States and Mexico. The El Paso Museum of Art's segment of the Kress Collection, which consists of 57 paintings and two sculptures, is one of the most important in the world, sixth in line with the National Gallery of Art in Washington DC having the largest donation of European art from the Kress The National Gallery of Art's Kress Collection contains 376 Old Master paintings, 94 sculptures, 1,307 bronzes and 38 drawings.

In addition to displaying works from its holdings, the Museum presents a diverse schedule of temporary exhibitions, films, lectures, concerts, and other educational programs for the public. The El Paso Museum of Art offers the following services:

- Free tours in English and Spanish of the permanent collections,
- Docent Program where an individual becomes a Museum-trained volunteer who leads audiences on educational, interactive tours of Museum exhibitions,
- The Algur H. Meadows Art Library, which houses the non-circulation art reference library of books on art and art history, exhibition catalogs, videos, and pamphlet files,
- Teacher Services which include e-mail service (monthly e-mail updates) and educational workshops that provide Continuing Professional Education (CPE) credit hours,
- Museum rentals of the whole Museum or spaces within it,
- Annual memberships which help support the Museum's fine exhibitions, special events, and public programs,
- Museum Store which carries home items, office products, jewelry, cards, toys, and unique and unusual items.

#### **SCOPE**

We performed a limited scope audit of the Museum of Art. The purpose of the audit is a change in management audit of the El Paso Museum of Art requested by Dr. Michael Tomor, Director of the El Paso Museum of Art. The change in management audit should allow management the opportunity to assess its current operations as of the end of fiscal year 2006. In order to accomplish this, the audit addressed the following areas:

- Obtained an understanding of the mission of the City of El Paso Museum of Art and its related goals and objectives.
- Reviewed, analyzed and documented funding and oversight requirements imposed on the Art Museum by:
  - o The Museum Advisory Board.
  - o Standards prescribed by the Federal Government.
  - o American Association of Museums.
  - Other entities as applicable.
- Determined if the current internal control systems in place are adequate to ensure accomplishment of these requirements.
- Reviewed, and documented the current financial reporting systems to determine if
  management has the available decision-making resources (financial reports, budget
  reports, IT systems, etc.) to properly monitor and manage risks, internal controls and
  governance issues.
- Reviewed, and tested current controls related to the safeguarding of museum assets (including the art collection). Regarding the art inventory we addressed:
  - o Physical security over these assets.
  - Environmental concerns (i.e., climate control requirements to preserve the art's life).
  - o Physical care over the art.
  - o Inventory records to include the completeness and accuracy of these records.
  - Obtained a list of the art inventory and conducted a physical verification on a sample basis.
- Reviewed, and tested current controls related to the safeguarding of unrestricted assets, temporarily restricted assets, and permanently restricted assets.
- Reviewed and documented current procedures over the rental revenue process resulting from the rental of the museum or portions of the museum to private and non-profit entities.

The audit period covered was fiscal year 2005 - 2006.

The audit was conducted in accordance with the <u>International Standards for the</u> *Professional Practice of Internal Auditing* issued by the Institute of Internal Auditors.

# SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

The definition of a significant finding is one that has a material effect on the City of El Paso's financial statements, identifies an internal control breakdown, a violation of a City policy and/or procedure, or a violation of a law and/or regulation that the City is required to follow. Any finding not meeting these criteria will be classified as an "Other Finding".

# Finding 1

## **Inconsistent Art Inventory Control Procedures**

The art inventory reports were not accurate, complete and reliable. During our completeness test of 25 judgmentally selected items from the floor, we found a lack of a comprehensive inventory report that lists all the permanent art pieces under one reporting system. From our test samples, 28% had no value amounts on the art pieces, 12% had no accession (asset) identification number and 60% had no value amount or accession (asset) identification number.

- The last physical inventory was conducted in 1998 with only 85% of the total collection inventoried.
- The three source reports were not integrated and lacked a standard form of reporting.
- Information on the Collection.doc and Accession Log files did not have value amounts for the art pieces.
- The Texas Municipal League Intergovernmental Risk Pool (TML insurance report) did not reflect the accession number (asset identification number).
- During the test count, six art pieces were missing the accession number (asset identification number).
- Two art pieces listed on the TML report (ID# 3343 and ID# 3345) were on loan to the City of El Paso Art Museum and not part of the museum's permanent collection. Only permanent pieces should be listed on the inventory report.

### Recommendation

To ensure that art inventory is accurate, complete and reliable, Museum of Art Management should implement proper internal controls to enhance best practices, such as appropriate accounting of art collection pieces and consistent record keeping to reduce errors and omissions. In addition, Museum of Art Management should conduct a 100% physical inventory of the art inventory and reconcile to a comprehensive inventory report.

# **Management's Response**

It is uncertain where the 85% inventory figure comes from. During the move from the Montana location to the current building in 1998, the entire collection was packed, accounted for and moved. Curatorial staff is working to integrate past inventory lists including values and accession numbers into the current format, which is in place. Previously, the Collection.doc was used for location and label information purposes only. It will be more inclusive from this point forward. The City of El Paso no longer insures the fine art collection through TML.

It is standard museum practice to treat works on long-term loan as one would treat permanent collection objects. Loans are given unique numbers that identify them as loans and not accessions. They are cared for, exhibited, and stored in the same manner as permanent collection objects. EPMA insurance policy with Huntington T. Block covers all objects at the premises of the insured in the same manner "...property of the insured; property of others on extended loan to the insured for a period of six months or more; property of others offered as gifts to the insured...all of which are part of, and known as their Permanent Collection."

# **Responsible Party**

Curatorial staff, including the Registrar, Assistant Curator, and Preparator under the supervision of Christian Gerstheimer, Curator; and the direction of Michael A. Tomor Ph.D.

# **Implementation Date**

12/31/07

# **Chief Internal Auditor's Response**

The museum staff provided the statistical information of 85% of the inventory being inventoried in 1998. The Internal Audit Office strongly recommends that the Museum of Art complete a thorough inventory of its collection to ensure proper safeguarding. The inventory should be completed as soon as possible due to the art inventory being at risk of being lost or stolen.

### **Outdated Appraisal Values for Art Inventory**

The appraisal values for the art inventory have not been updated since the acquisition date of the art piece. From our \$51,676,533 total inventory amount tested, we found 96% or \$49,840,000 of our sampled items, which had not been recently appraised to determine the current value. The \$51,676,533 represents 86% of the \$60,000,000 currently appraised art collections with cost values dating back to 1961. The total value of the Kress Collection in our sample test amounted to \$45,400,000 which had not been updated since it was acquired in 1961. Furthermore, the art inventory amount is not reflected on the City's balance sheet adversely affecting the City's net worth.

# Recommendation

Museum of Art Management should have the art inventory appraised on a regular basis to safeguard the value of their assets. This will ensure that the inventory amounts are accurate and fair. In addition, this will set the proper value for insurance purposes. The art inventory amount should be reflected on the balance sheet for proper recording of the City's net worth.

# **Management's Response**

A small percentage of the values of works in the permanent collections have been updated since the time of acquisitions. When works are loaned to other institutions or to traveling exhibitions or when works are conserved values are updated. EPMA is currently in the process of obtaining bids to reappraise 500 of the most valuable works in the collection. With appropriate funding provided by the City of El Paso, this project will take place during FY2007-08 in preparation for the EPMA reaccredidation with the American Association of Museums, EPMA's insurance policy with Huntington T. Block does not require the museum to provide a comprehensive list of current insurance values for each object in the collection. It is standard museum practice to "insure for an amount determined from a list of the museum's most values objects" not for a listing of the entire collection.

## Responsible Party

Curatorial staff, including the Registrar, Assistant Curator, and Preparator, under the supervision of Christian Gerstheimer, Curator, and the direction of Michael A. Tomor, Ph.D., Director.

# **Implementation Date**

12/31/07

# **Inadequate Controls on Safeguarding of Restricted Assets**

We found a lack of a comprehensive report listing the various types of bequeathed assets to understand the risks involved. There are no policies and procedures over maintaining records for these assets that have been donated to the Museum. A donor file which reflects the fund requirements of these assets was also lacking. Furthermore, we could not conduct a complete test to reconcile bequeathed assets to fund requirements and financial transactions due to reports and documents missing.

# Recommendation

The Museum of Art's Management with the assistance of the City of El Paso's Financial Services should implement proper internal controls over the bequeathed assets to enhance best practices such as proper accounting, consistent record keeping, proper monitoring, managing and safeguarding the bequeathed assets. Creation of a standard policy to define each category (unrestricted assets, temporarily restricted assets and permanently restricted assets) should be developed.

# **Management's Response**

It is clear that bequeathed assets in this audit and under Finding 3 refers only to non-art assets, because indeed policies and procedures as well as documented donor files are in place for the receipt of art. Donations to the endowments managed by the City of El Paso as well as those managed by the El Paso Museum of Art Foundation are listed and posted in El Paso Museum of Art membership software, but are not tracked by donor or the City of El Paso. In fact, the only method of cross-referencing revenue of this type in the city is by deposited check numbers. A response should be asked of Financial Services and OMB to this Finding. The El Paso Museum of Art will expand its existing system of Donor files to be inclusive of gifts of money and capital assets.

Also, it would be the advice of the Museum of Art administration to have the City of El Paso's Office of Management and Budget and Financial Services adopt the policies and procedures already in place by the Museum of Art in the issuing of Deeds of Gifts, accompanying acknowledgement letters to donors and copies of these to the MCAD and EPMA managers. Clearly the Museum of Art must work with the City of El Paso's administration to develop such language in the receipt of restricted, temporarily restricted and permanently restricted gifts other than works of art.

# Management's Response (cont.)

# **Responsible Party**

Curatorial staff, including the Registrar, Assistant Curator, and Preparator, under the supervision of Christian Gerstheimer, Curator, and the direction of Michael A. Tomor, Ph.D., Director in concert with OMB with Financial Services.

# **Implementation Date**

August 31, 2007

# **Chief Internal Auditor Response**

A copy of this report will be made available to OMB and Financial Services for assistance in the creation of a strong monitoring system. The responsibility of managing the restricted assets is with the Museum of Art. The museum benefits from the restricted asset and therefore must take responsibility for the asset.

### **Poor Management Controls at the Store Museum**

The following management control weaknesses and risk areas were identified for the store museum in regards to revenues and inventory:

- Adequate reconciliation between the point of sale system and general ledger are not being performed, since variances are not investigated and there is no management review of the reconciliations.
- There are no trend analyses being performed on profit and loss activities by management.
- There are no reviews of monthly expenditures being performed.
- There is a lack of profit and loss analyses on store performance which include expenses associated with salaries and wages of store employees.
- The store museum has been operating at a loss in the last four years as summarized below:

Fiscal Year:	2004	2005	2006	2007
Revenues	\$ 77,321	\$ 65,533	\$ 72,492	\$ 23,544
Expenses	\$ 105,506	\$ 136,117	\$ 106,265	\$ 58,206
				_
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ (28,185)	\$ (70,584)	\$ (33,773)	\$ (34,662)*

<sup>\*</sup>As of November 30, 2006.

- Daily revenues (cash, checks and credit card receipts) are kept in an unsecured desk drawer.
- If there is a cash shortage, the cashier is responsible to pay the shortage out of his/her pocket.
- In handling the store museum revenues, there is no segregation of duties between depositing the monies into the bank and journalizing the entry into the general ledger account. The Associate Accountant performs both functions.
- We noted improper cutoffs, unreliable inventory counts and untimely record keeping as there are no standard inventory procedures in place over the store merchandise.

# Recommendation

Museum of Art Management should implement internal controls over the store museum operations so that store performance can be measured, monitored and adequately operated.

# **Management's Response**

The Museum of Art is not able to deny or support the assertion made by the Audit Team that the Museum Store has been operating at a loss for the last four years as summarized above. According to the Audit Team, who pulled their information from OMB and Financial Services, the store has been running a deficit for four years. According to records of the Museum Store Manager, only one of those four years ran a deficit and the movement of funds (balance transfers) through the Museum Store account has given the impression of high expenses, when in fact it is a software glitch that registers all outgoing funds from the account as expenses (this includes balance transfers). When seeking clarification, the Accountant of the Museum and Cultural Affairs and the Museum Store Manager confirmed the expenses to be exclusively balance transfers of unrestricted, undesignated funds for an exhibition that ran through the Museum Store account. The nature of this conversation has been the same and unresolved since the arrival of the Director in March 2006. A full investigation of this deficit needs to be carried out by the Museum staff, OMB, and Financial Services.

Proposed by the Museum's management is the following: Monthly reports between Point of Sale (POS) system and General Ledger, including Revenues, Expenses, Salaries, and Losses will be submitted to the Director by the 10<sup>th</sup> of the following month detailing the prior month. A Profit & Loss Report, monthly expenditure reports will accompany the monthly POS to determine trends in sales. Monthly budget status reports will be generated by MCAD Accountants and matched against internal reports generated by the Museum Store Manager.

To secure cash and check transactions, a safety box will be purchased with keys given to the MCAD accountant, the Director, and the Museum store staff. Policies of operation will be generated and will include process for declaring cash shortages and overages, theft, opening and closing, attire, etc.

Effective immediately, cash will be deposited by the Director's assistant, while credit card sales will still be processed by the Museum Store Manager. Deposit slips and credit card reports will be sent to the MCAD accountant to post. Quarterly inventory reports will be forwarded to the Museum Director and will include Name of Product, quantity, retail value, purchase price, consigned value, projected net losses.

# Responsible Party

Museum Store Manager, Armando Vargas; Associate Accountant for the Museum and Cultural Affairs Division, Irene Herrera; Director, Michael A. Tomor, Ph.D.

## **Implementation Date**

March 30, 2007

# **Chief Internal Auditor's Response**

The Internal Audit Office was unable to obtain any financial information from the Museum of Art. The Museum of Art's Accounting Technician, Irene Herrera referred the Auditors to the Office of Management and Budget because no data was available. The records mentioned in Management's Response were never made available to the Internal Audit Office. Considering the availability and reliability of data, the Internal Audit Office would rely more on data obtained from the OMB office than data provided by the Museum of Art.

# OTHER FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSES

# Finding 5

#### **Poor Controls on Collection of Rental Revenue**

The collection of rental revenue is untimely, poorly monitored and lacks strong internal controls. There are three various sources which specify when full rental payment is due:

- The "Invoice" states that payment is due <u>seven</u> days prior to the event date.
- The "Rental Fees" information sheet states that rental fees shall be paid in full to the museum eight days prior to date of event or event will be cancelled.
- The "Special Events Use Agreement" states the full balance amount is due on a specific date which is outlined in the agreement.
- There is no consistency in the due dates from the three sources listed above.

During our sample test of ten rental engagements, we found 80% of customer payments were delayed and paid after the contractual due date agreement. From our \$9,686.88 total invoice amount tested, we found 85 % or \$8,189.84 of our sample items were paid between 4 to 68 days late

## Recommendation

Museum of Art Management should implement internal controls over due dates and the collection of rental revenues so that they are timely and properly monitored.

# **Management's Response**

All forms related to Rental Income will be standardized to include the same billing information. Invoicing will be processed by the Development Department and followed through by the Museum and Cultural Affairs Accounting Team. The Accounting Team will work with the Development Department to send second and third notices for payment to ensure timely receipt of revenue. Revenue and Expense Reports will be provided monthly to the Director by the 10<sup>th</sup> of the following month detailing the prior month activities and matched against monthly reports from OMB on the Museum's financial activities.

#### **Responsible Party**

Development Division Staff under the supervision of Jeffrey Romney, Head of Development under the direction of Michael A. Tomor, Ph.D. Director.

## **Implementation Date**

March 31, 2007

### **Weak Internal Controls on Donation Box**

The following internal control weaknesses and risk areas were identified:

- There are no policies and procedures over the donations box.
- There is no specific time regarding when donation box is emptied out. The decision to count the money is subjective, based on how full the box appears.
- The donation box is opened first and then carried to the Associate Accountant's office. This creates a risk in ensuring the money stays in the box while it is being transported.
- Inconsistent methods of opening the box are used. A screwdriver is sometimes used to open the donation box in lieu of a key.

## Recommendation

Museum of Art Management should implement internal controls over the collection of donations so that they are timely and properly monitored.

# **Management's Response**

A procedure for the collection and documentation of the contents of the donation box will be implemented. The donation box will be emptied on the first Monday of each month. This task will be carried out by a team consisting of two members of EPMA staff – one member of the Curatorial Department (Preparator, Registrar, Curator, Assistant Curator or Director) and one member of the Operations staff. The collected donations will be transferred by both members of this team to the Receptionist who will then tally the amount collected for the month and forward this amount to the appropriate fund. It is recommended that a standard form be used for this process each time. This figure will be approved and signed off by both members of the team. The procedures for securing and opening the donation box is and will remain consistent. Special security screws are used to affix the cover on the donation box to the base. The bit for these security screws is held by the Curatorial staff. (This is the same screw/bit combination that is used to provide additional security to artwork, when necessary, in the galleries.

# **Responsible Party**

Curatorial Staff, including the Registrar, Assistant Curator, and Preparator, under the supervision of Christian Gerstheimer, Curator, and the direction of Michael A. Tomor, Ph.D., Director and one Visitor Security Resource guard, under the supervision of Museum Operations Supervisor Jesse Salgado.

### **Implementation Date**

August 31, 2007

### Weak Physical Security Over Art Inventory in Art Storage Room

Art pieces in the art storage room are not safeguarded adequately. During the sample test of the inventory records, we found the entrance door to the art storage room left wide open and the area unattended. Although a swipe card and a key is required and limited to the Registrar, Curator and Preparator to enter the storage room, entry was easily accessible as entrance door was unrestricted.

# Recommendation

To ensure that the storage room is properly safeguarded, Museum of Art Management should enforce tighter controls for physical security over the assets located in the storage room to authorized personnel only.

## **Management's Response**

This observation is incorrect. The doors to Art Storage can only be accessed by members of the Curatorial team and the Director. This requires a swipe card programmed for this door as well as a key. At the time of the audit, the door was open but the Preparator was working in the recesses of the storage room. The general entrance to this area, which includes the Preparator's office and the matting/framing and the carpenter shop, is further restricted from members of the general public and visitors to the museum by a second door requiring swipe card access. EPMA is in the process of updating an Access to Collections Policy and the policy regarding access to art storage will be formally detailed there. In the future, the door to the storage facility will be closed and secured while staff works with the space, if staff is working in the recesses of the storage room and cannot properly monitor the entrance. Security cameras are always monitoring the entrance and emergency exits of the Permanent Collection storage and are monitored by a living guard from when the Museum is open to the public or during private events held at the Museum.

### **Responsible Party**

Curatorial staff, including the Registrar, Assistant Curator, and Preparator, under the supervision of Christian Gerstheimer, Curator, and the direction of Michael A. Tomor, Director and Museum Operations Supervisor Jesse Salgado, who supervises the Visitor Security Resources.

## **Implementation Date**

August 31, 2007

# **Chief Internal Auditor's Response**

The Chief Internal Auditor conducted a secondary review of the work papers associated with this finding and has determined that the Art Storage Room door was indeed open. The Internal Audit Office strongly recommends the Museum of Art's management monitor the Art Storage Room more closely in the future.

#### **INHERENT LIMITATIONS**

Because of the inherent limitations of internal controls, errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of the internal control structure to future periods are subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate due to changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Overall, the Museum of Art reflects progressive changes as is indicated through the request of this audit by the Director of the Museum of Art. The Museum of Art continues to strive in meeting its mission and is evident in the current Management's plan for the museum's future. Although the Museum of Art has improved during the tenure of its new management, there are areas requiring improvement, which would strengthen its current operations.

Implementation of the recommendations summarized in this Audit Report should assist management in increasing their ability to meet their objectives. We wish to thank the Museum of Art's management and staff for their receptiveness and responsiveness to this audit.

Signature on file
Edmundo S. Calderon, CIA, CGAP
Chief Internal Auditor

Signature on file
Emlyn Valles
Internal Auditor

#### Distribution:

Fiscal Affairs and Internal Audit Legislative Review Committee Joyce Wilson, City Manager Deborah G. Hamlyn, Deputy City Manager – Quality of Life Services William F. Studer. Jr., Deputy City Manager – Financial & Administrative Services

Yolanda R. Alameda, Director, Museum and Cultural Affairs Department

Dr. Michael A. Tomor, Director, El Paso Museum of Art